AMEND Applicant(s): Th	arge Entity)		Docket No. YOR920000148US1 (16415)			
Application No. 09/556,725	Filing Date April 21, 2000	Examiner Nga B. Nguyen	Custom 233		Group Art Unit 3628	Confirmation No. 6637
Invention: BUSI	NESS METHOD FOR	COMPARISON SHOP	PPING WITH DY	/NAMI	C PRICING	
Transmitted herew	vith is an amendment i	COMMISSIONER FO				
		mitted as shown below				
		CLAIMS AS AM	1ENDED			
	CLAIMS REMAINING	HIGHEST #	NUMBER EXTRA		DATE	ADDITIONAL
	AFTER AMENDMENT	PREV. PAID FOR	CLAIMS PRESEN	Т	RATE	FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	35 -	35 =	0	х	\$18.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	3 -	3 =	0	х	\$86.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependen	t Claims (check if appl	licable)				\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$0.00
☐ Please cha☐ A check in ☐ The Direct communic ☐ Any a☐ Any p☐ Payment b☐ WARNING	ation or credit any over additional filing fees requested application process by credit card. Form PT Information on this	No. in to cover the filing do to charge payment of rpayment to Deposit Acquired under 37 C.F.R. essing fees under 37 C	the following fee ecount 50-051 1.16. FR 1.17. blic. Credit care	es asso 0/IBM d infor	mation should	not be
Steven Fischman Registration No. 34,594 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C. 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA- SUITE 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343			Dated: September 27, 2006 December 27, 2006			
SF/EP/me cc:						

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Thomas A. Cofino

Examiner: Nga B. Nguyen

Serial No:

09/556,725

Art Unit:

3628

Filed:

April 21, 2000

Docket:

YOR920000148US1 (16415)

For:

BUSINESS METHOD FOR COMPARISON SHOPPING

COMPARISON SHOPPING WITH DYNAMIC PRICING

OVER A NETWORK

Dated:

September 27, 2006

Conf. No.:

6637

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Sir:

This reply is responsive to the Notice of Restarted Response Period dated September 27, 2006 and addresses the rejections of the Office Action following applicant's Appeal Brief. No fee is believed to be due. Please reconsider the claims in the application in view of the remarks set forth below.

Listing of claims appear on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 10 of this paper.

CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being electronically transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Dated: September 27, 2006

Steven Fischman